

# The MAX IV 3 GeV Storage Ring From Design to Commissioning



#### Outline

#### Introduction

- MAX IV Facility Overview
- Origins of the MAX IV 3 GeV Ring Lattice
- The MAX IV 3 GeV Storage Ring
  - Linear & Nonlinear Optics
  - Performance
- Linac & Injection
- Commissioning Experience
  - Brief Linac Commissioning Summary
  - 3 GeV Storage Ring Commissioning
- Outlook & Upgrade Ideas



#### Once upon a time in a very cold & distant land...

- Around 2007: MAX-lab operating three storage rings for users
- But wants to expand towards high-brightness x-rays







#### **MAX IV Facility Overview**

- These plans call for a new facility
- Quickly realize a single accelerator cannot cover the entire required spectral and temporal range
- After a facility-wide optimization, decide instead to build 3 new accelerators:
  - one ≈3.5 GeV linac as SPF/FEL driver & ring injector (separate guns)
  - two separate storage rings at 1.5 GeV (UV) and 3 GeV (x-rays)





#### MAX IV Facility Overview (cont.)

- Facility can accommodate up to 32 user beamlines:
  3 @ SPF, 10 @ 1.5 GeV SR, 19 @ 3 GeV SR
- 14 have been funded in our first two beamline phases





#### **MAX IV Origins**

 MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance



- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )

$$\varepsilon_0[\text{nm rad}] = 1470 \, E[\text{GeV}]^2 \frac{I_5}{J_x I_2}, \quad J_x = 1 - \frac{I_4}{I_2} \text{ TME}$$
$$= \frac{0.0078}{J_x} \, E[\text{GeV}]^2 \, \Phi[^\circ]^3 \, \frac{F(\beta_x, \eta)_{\rho}}{12\sqrt{15}},$$

$$I_2 = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^2} \qquad I_4 = \oint \frac{\eta}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 2b_2\right) ds \qquad I_5 = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^3|} ds \qquad \mathcal{H} = \gamma_x \eta^2 + 2\alpha_x \eta \eta' + \beta_x \eta^2$$

TME: brute-force approach  $I_5/I_2 \rightarrow 0$  easily leads to overstrained optics, chromaticity wall



- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )

$$\varepsilon_{0}[\operatorname{nm rad}] = 1470 \, E[\operatorname{GeV}]^{2} \frac{I_{5}}{J_{x}I_{2}}, \quad J_{x} = 1 - \frac{I_{4}}{I_{2}} \operatorname{TME} \operatorname{TME} \operatorname{MBA}$$

$$= \frac{0.0078}{J_{x}} E[\operatorname{GeV}]^{2} \Phi[^{\circ}]^{3} \frac{F(\beta_{x},\eta)_{\rho}}{12\sqrt{15}}, \quad \Phi[^{\circ}]^{3} \propto \frac{1}{N_{b}^{3}}$$
Gradient Dipoles
$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}} \qquad I_{4} = \oint \frac{\eta}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} + 2b_{2}\right) ds \qquad I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds \qquad \mathcal{H} = \gamma_{x}\eta^{2} + 2\alpha_{x}\eta\eta' + \beta_{x}\eta^{2}$$

TME: brute-force approach  $I_5/I_2 \rightarrow 0$  easily leads to overstrained optics, chromaticity wall MBA: many weak dipoles, distributed chromaticity correction  $\rightarrow$  allows relaxing optics Gradient dipoles: reduce emittance, allow for more compact optics  $\rightarrow$  improves MBA



- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )

$$\varepsilon_{0}[\operatorname{nm rad}] = 1470 E[\operatorname{GeV}]^{2} \frac{I_{5}}{J_{x}I_{2}}, \quad J_{x} = 1 - \frac{I_{4}}{I_{2}} \underbrace{\mathsf{TME}}_{\mathsf{MBA}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{SPIE Vol. 2013, 1993} & \mathsf{Design of a diffraction-limited light source} \\ D. Einfeld* and M. Plesko** \\ * Research Cr. Rossendorf, P.O.B. 19, O-8051 Dresden, FRG \\ ** Sincrotrone Trieste, Padriciano 99, I-34012 Trieste, ITALY \\ \mathsf{ABSTRACT} \\ \mathsf{ABSTRACT} \\ \mathsf{ABSTRACT} \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat (MBA) optics as a lattice for low emittance storage rings is presented. The novel feature of this lattice is the use of horizontally defocussing bending magnets with different bending angles to keep the radiation integrals low. It is shown that a storage ring with such a lattice can have a low emittance at a relatively compact size. An application of the MBA structure for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat of the MBA structure for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat of the MBA structure for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat for a 3 GeV diffraction limited storage ring is presented and discussed. \\ \mathsf{Amodified multiple bend achromat$$

- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )



- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )



 $\varepsilon_0$ 

- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )

PAC'95, FAB14, p.2823 996 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material dvertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

#### LATTICE STUDIES FOR A HIGH-BRIGHTNESS LIGHT SOURCE

D. Kaltchev<sup>\*</sup>, R.V. Servranckx, M.K. Craddock<sup>†</sup> TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T2A3 W. Joho, PSI, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland



- MAX IV 3 GeV storage ring designed for x-ray users → high brightness via state-of-the-art IDs, high-current top-up operation & ultralow emittance
- Ultralow emittance achieved through MBA lattice ( $\epsilon_x \sim 1/N_b^3$ )

$$\varepsilon_{0}[\text{nm rad}] = 1470 E[\text{GeV}]^{2} \frac{I_{5}}{J_{x}I_{2}}, \quad J_{x} = 1 - \frac{I_{4}}{I_{2}} \text{TME} \text{ MBA}$$

$$= \frac{0.0078}{J_{x}} E[\text{GeV}]^{2} \Phi[^{\circ}]^{3} \frac{F(\beta_{x},\eta)_{\rho}}{12\sqrt{15}}, \quad \Phi[^{\circ}]^{3} \propto \frac{1}{N_{b}^{3}}$$
Gradient Dipoles
$$I_{2} = \oint \frac{ds}{\rho^{2}} \qquad I_{4} = \oint \frac{\eta}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} + 2b_{2}\right) ds \qquad I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}}{|\rho^{3}|} ds \qquad \mathcal{H} = \gamma_{x}\eta^{2} + 2\alpha_{x}\eta\eta' + \beta_{x}\eta^{2}$$

During 1990s considered "nice idea" but not realizable



- During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice
  - compact magnets (narrow gaps → short but strong), magnet integration (common magnet block = "girder"), use of combinedfunction magnets





- During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice
  - compact magnets (narrow gaps → short but strong), magnet integration (common magnet block = "girder"), use of combinedfunction magnets





- During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice
  - compact magnets (narrow gaps → short but strong), magnet integration (common magnet block = "girder"), use of combinedfunction magnets







- During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice
  - compact magnets (narrow gaps → short but strong), magnet integration (common magnet block = "girder"), use of combinedfunction magnets
  - NEG-coated vacuum chambers → narrow magnet gaps & tight magnet spacing













- During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice
  - compact magnets (narrow gaps → short but strong), magnet integration (common magnet block = "girder"), use of combinedfunction magnets
  - NEG-coated vacuum chambers → narrow magnet gaps & tight magnet spacing
  - 100 MHz RF system with passive harmonic cavities → ensure stability, good Touschek lifetime & mitigate emittance blowup from IBS





• During the 2000s MAX-lab becomes convinced it has the technology to realize an MBA lattice





#### The MAX IV 3 GeV Storage Ring

• 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats

PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009)

IPAC'11, THPC059, p.3029

JSR **21**, 862-877 (2014)



• 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016 IPAC'11, THPC059, p.3029

JSR **21**, 862-877 (2014)



• 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016



MAXIV

• 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats





- 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats
- 19 long straights (4.6 m) for users, 1 for injection
- 40 short straights (1.3 m) for RF & diagnostics



- 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats
- 19 long straights (4.6 m) for users, 1 for injection
- 40 short straights (1.3 m) for RF & diagnostics
- 7-bend achromat: 5 unit cells (3°) & 2 matching cells (1.5° LGB)



- 528 m circumference, 500 mA with top-up, 20 achromats
- 19 long straights (4.6 m) for users, 1 for injection
- 40 short straights (1.3 m) for RF & diagnostics
- 7-bend achromat: 5 unit cells (3°) & 2 matching cells (1.5° LGB)
- High-brightness hard x-rays achieved through:
  - state-of-the-art IDs (in-vacuum undulators, EPUs) MAX-lab Int.Note 20100215
  - 500 mA stored current, (infrequent) top-up injection
  - ultralow emittance lattice & harmonic cavities (lifetime & IBS)
    - →  $\epsilon_x = 328 \text{ pm rad}$  ( $\epsilon_y$  adjusted to 2—8 pm rad)

PAC'**13**, MOPHO05

PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009)

IPAC'11, THPC059, p.3029

JSR 21, 862-877 (2014)



#### **Linear Optics**

 Gradient dipoles perform vertical focusing ( $\varepsilon_x \sim 1/J_x$ )



ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

Simon C. Leemann

y [m]

2.0

### Linear Optics (cont.)

- Gradient dipoles perform
   vertical focusing (ε<sub>x</sub> ~ 1/J<sub>x</sub>)
- Gradient dipoles interleaved with horizontally focusing quadrupoles

5



29/143

Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

y [m]

2.0

## Linear Optics (cont.)

- Gradient dipoles perform
   vertical focusing (ε<sub>x</sub> ~ 1/J<sub>x</sub>)
- Gradient dipoles interleaved with horizontally focusing quadrupoles
- $v_x = 42.20$ ,  $v_y = 16.28$  $\beta_x^* = 9 \text{ m}$ ,  $\beta_y^* = 2 \text{ m}$

• 
$$\sigma_x^* = 54 \ \mu m$$
,  $\sigma_y^* = 2-4 \ \mu m$ 

5



20

15

x [m]

10

Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

v [m]

2.0

30/143

25

#### **Nonlinear Optics**

 Strong focusing & weak bends → low dispersion → strong chromatic sextupoles → intricate nonlinear optics required to achieve large DA & MA (needs to remain stable under influence of IDs and errors)



 Natural ξ<sub>x,y</sub> ≈ -50 → many chromatic sextupoles → correct linear chromaticity and tailor its higher orders → additional sextupoles used to minimize first-order RDTs (low since phase adv. ≈ 2π×2, 2π×3/4)





 Natural ξ<sub>x,y</sub> ≈ -50 → many chromatic sextupoles → correct linear chromaticity and tailor its higher orders → additional sextupoles used to minimize first-order RDTs (low since phase adv. ≈ 2π×2, 2π×3/4)



 Strong sextupoles drive large ADTS → achromatic octupoles allow tailoring ADTS to first order → minimize tune footprint



PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009)



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

34/143 N



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

35/143 🔨

#### **Optics Performance**

 Nonlinear optimization results in small amplitude-dependent and chromatic tune shifts (tracking in Tracy-3)
 PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) PRST-AB 14, 030701 (2011)




Nonlinear optimization results in small amplitude-dependent and chromatic tune shifts (tracking in Tracy-3)





- Nonlinear optimization results in small amplitude-dependent and chromatic tune shifts (tracking in Tracy-3)
  PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) PRST-AB 14, 030701 (2011)
- Overall tune footprint becomes very compact both on and off momentum
  - large on-momentum DA ensures good injection efficiency
  - large off-momentum DA ensures good lattice MA





- Nonlinear optimization results in small amplitude-dependent and chromatic tune shifts (tracking in Tracy-3)
  PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) PRST-AB 14, 030701 (2011)
- Overall tune footprint becomes very compact both on and off momentum
  - large on-momentum DA ensures good injection efficiency
  - large off-momentum DA ensures good lattice MA
  - DA stable under influence of IDs, magnet errors & misalignments



• Example: 10 IVUs, gaps fully closed, ring optics matched, magnet and alignment errors included (20 seeds) PAC'11, TUP235, p.1262





- Large off-momentum DA enables generous lattice MA
- In conjunction with appropriately dimensioned RF system can lead to large overall MA
  PRST-AB 17, 050705 (2014)





 Large overall MA is required if ultralow emittance PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) should render good Touschek lifetime

(low emittance → small transverse momenta → few scattering events lead to actual Touschek loss)





• Large overall MA is required if ultralow emittance PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) should render good Touschek lifetime

(low emittance  $\rightarrow$  small transverse momenta  $\rightarrow$  few scattering events lead to actual Touschek <u>loss</u>)

 Use 300 MHz Landau cavities to stretch bunches ×5 → extend Touschek lifetime beyond gas lifetime





 Large overall MA is required if ultralow emittance should render good Touschek lifetime
PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009)
PRST-AB 17, 050705 (2014)
(low emittance → small transverse momenta → few scattering events lead to actual Touschek loss)

 Use 300 MHz Landau cavities to stretch bunches ×5 → extend Touschek lifetime beyond gas lifetime

 At MAX IV Landau cavities are indispensable to maintain ultralow emittance despite strong IBS at 500 mA stored current (5 nC/bunch)



• Large overall MA is required if ultralow emittance PRST-AB 12, 120701 (2009) should render good Touschek lifetime

(low emittance → small transverse momenta → few scattering events lead to actual Touschek loss)





- These modern rings are really a different beast
  - MBA lattices employ very weak dipoles
  - installed DWs and/or IDs can have huge impact on rad. power

PRST-AB 17, 050705 (2014)

46/143

emittance & energy spread determined by IDs & gap settings



• These modern rings are really a different beast

PRST-AB 17, 050705 (2014)

- MBA lattices employ very weak dipoles
- installed DWs and/or IDs can have huge impact on rad. power
- emittance & energy spread determined by IDs & gap settings
- IBS entangles longitudinal and transverse dynamics (@ low/medium energy)
- despite top-up, as gaps change during user shifts → varying emittance, bunch length, lifetime, etc. → will need to monitor closely → feedback wiggler?



#### **Linac & Injection**

 MAX IV linac: 39 S-band structures & 19 RF stations (SS modulator, klystron, and SLED cavities) → ≈3.5 GeV (on crest)



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016



48/143

 MAX IV linac: 39 S-band structures & 19 RF stations (SS modulator, klystron, and SLED cavities) → ≈3.5 GeV (on crest)





 MAX IV linac: 39 S-band structures & 19 RF stations (SS modulator, klystron, and SLED cavities) → ≈3.5 GeV (on crest)





- MAX IV linac: 39 S-band structures & 19 RF stations (SS modulator, klystron, and SLED cavities) → ≈3.5 GeV (on crest)
- Two guns (SPF/FEL vs. ring injection)
- Two magnetic bunch compressors (SPF/FEL)
- Two extraction points to SR transfer lines













- The original plan: conventional 4-kicker bump injection
- But worried about stored beam stability during top-up
  - 200 nm vertical stability requirement
- Also worried about complexity
  - matching, synchronizing and aligning 4 kickers/pulsers to properly close bump
  - strong sextupoles & octupoles within bump: bump can only be properly closed for one energy and amplitude
  - 4 kickers and septum require lots of space





- Intrigued by KEK's pioneering work on PQM and PSM
  - align only a single magnet to stored beam
  - synchronize only one pulser to injection
  - PSM field flat around stored beam
  - minute perturbation of stored beam by PSM



PRST-AB 10, 123501 (2007)

PRST-AB **13**, 020705 (2010)





Magnetic field at 15 mm	40 mT
Magnetic length	300 mm
Bore diameter	66 mm
Peak current	3000 A
Pulse length	1.2 / 2.4 µs



- Decided to use pulsed sextupole magnet injection for top-up injection into both MAX IV storage rings
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- Strong nonlinearities in MAX IV storage rings → tracking (Tracy-3, DIMAD): optimization of beam position/angle in septum & location/strength of PSM





- Decided to use pulsed sextupole magnet injection for top-up injection into both MAX IV storage rings
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- Strong nonlinearities in MAX IV storage rings → tracking (Tracy-3, DIMAD): optimization of beam position/angle in septum & location/strength of PSM



≈1.2 mrad to minimize reduced invariant

≈0.8 mrad sufficient for capture within (design) acceptance



- Decided to use pulsed sextupole magnet injection for top-up injection into both MAX IV storage rings
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- Strong nonlinearities in MAX IV storage rings → tracking (Tracy-3, DIMAD): optimization of beam position/angle in septum & location/strength of PSM



58/143

ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- Decided to use pulsed sextupole magnet injection for top-up injection into both MAX IV storage rings PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- Strong nonlinearities in MAX IV storage rings → tracking (Tracy-3, DIMAD): optimization of beam position/angle in septum & location/strength of PSM
- PSM gradient not an issue because of low injected emittance (linac:  $\varepsilon_n = 10 \text{ mm mrad} \rightarrow \varepsilon_x = 1.7 \text{ nm rad}$ ; SR:  $\approx 0.3 \text{ nm rad}$ ,  $\approx 11 \text{ mm mrad}$  acceptance)
- Capture shows significant tolerance to injection errors (low injected emittance in conjunction with comparably large ring acceptance)





 After working on a reference design for a MAX IV pulsed sextupole magnet...



cannot accommodate for aspect ratio of BSC

• 20.6 J stored energy @ 300 mm length



- After working on a reference design for a MAX IV pulsed sextupole magnet... switched to a better idea
- BESSY nonlinear injection kicker prototype

P. Kuske, Top-up WS, Melbourne, 2009

61/143

IPAC'**11**, THPO024, p.3394

- stripline-like design with 4 low-impedance coils
- minimize stored beam perturbation (octupole-like around center)



ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- In 2011 entered collaboration with SOLEIL in association with HZB to develop a new nonlinear injection kicker for MAX IV based on the original BESSY concept
- Considering MAX IV vertical aperture requirements and chosen vacuum vessel design, will have to inject on slope





- In 2011 entered collaboration with SOLEIL in association with HZB to develop a new nonlinear injection kicker for MAX IV based on the original BESSY concept
- Considering MAX IV vertical aperture requirements and chosen vacuum vessel design, will have to inject on slope





 But thanks to low-emittance injection from MAX IV linac, can inject on slope without sampling too much gradient for good capture





Field data for tracking extracted from OPERA models (static & transient) including 4 µm Ti coating (OPERA model courtesy P. Lebasque, SOLEIL)

PAC'13, WEPSM05



- Injected beam and stored beam see octupole-like field
- 39 mT delivered to injected beam at 4.7 mm as required
- Stored beam perturbation remains negligible

Superposition after 5 turns

Before 1st turn

6

2

-2

-4

-6

-15

-10

y' [µrad]







-150 -100

-50

0

x [µm]

50

100

150

200

40

20

-20

-40

-200

x' [µrad]

- Nonlinear kicker (MIK) should enable transparent top-up injection during user shifts
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- But tricky to commission (kick scales  $\approx x^3$ )

NIM-A **693**, 117, 2012

• Instead, for commissioning use single dipole kicker (KI)





- Nonlinear kicker (MIK) should enable transparent top-up injection during user shifts
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- But tricky to commission (kick scales  $\approx x^3$ )

NIM-A **693**, 117, 2012

• Instead, for commissioning use single dipole kicker (KI)





- Nonlinear kicker (MIK) should enable transparent top-up injection during user shifts
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- But tricky to commission (kick scales  $\approx x^3$ )

NIM-A **693**, 117, 2012

• Instead, for commissioning use single dipole kicker (KI)





- Nonlinear kicker (MIK) should enable transparent top-up injection during user shifts
  PRST-AB 15, 050705 (2012)
- But tricky to commission (kick scales  $\approx x^3$ )

Instead, for commissioning use single dipole kicker (KI)





NIM-A 693, 117, 2012





NIM-A 693, 117, 2012

70/143

ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- Injection with a single dipole kicker:
  - on-axis injection (-0.6 mrad at septum)
  - off-axis injection





- Injection with a single dipole kicker:
  - on-axis injection (-0.6 mrad at septum)
  - off-axis injection
  - and allows for accumulation



NIM-A 693, 117, 2012
#### **Commissioning Timeline**





#### **Linac Commissioning Summary**

- Linac beam commissioning started August 2014
- Eventually reached 3.2 GeV in BC2
  - -≈0.8 nC in 100 ns train delivered at 1 Hz (corresponds to ≈0.5 mA in SR)
  - $-\approx$ 7 mm mrad delivered in vertical plane (chopper sweep plane)
  - roughly on-crest phasing of all linacs  $\rightarrow$  ±0.3% energy spread





### Linac Commissioning Summary (cont.)

- 500 MHz and 100 MHz bunch structures delivered
- Injection demonstrated in trains and single-bunch



75/143

#### Linac Commissioning Summary (cont.)

- Linac went into shutdown at end of April 2015 for transfer line installations & last phase of exp. hall construction
- Linac restarted Aug 3, 2015





#### **3 GeV Storage Ring Commissioning**

- First beam into full 3 GeV transfer line (TL) on Aug 10
- TL optics fixed, successful injection into 3 GeV SR on Aug 19





- First beam into full 3 GeV transfer line (TL) on Aug 10
- TL optics fixed, successful injection into 3 GeV SR on Aug 19
- With some manual adjustments of angle and position at injection point in SR (using diode rings), immediately detected beam on all BPMs in first achromat (up to first closed value)



 $\approx 2 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$ 



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

≈950 pC at linac extraction

- First beam into full 3 GeV transfer line (TL) on Aug 10
- TL optics fixed, successful injection into 3 GeV SR on Aug 19
- With some manual adjustments of angle and position at injection point in SR (using diode rings), immediately detected beam on all BPMs in first achromat (up to first closed valve)

- To pass beyond straight 4 (without correctors), required exciting dipole injection kicker (exactly according to design)
- Exited dipole kicker at ≈75% of nominal strength and saw amplitudes reduce roughly 60% (Libera Brilliance+ SP read-out)





 Exited dipole kicker at ≈75% of nominal strength and saw amplitudes reduce roughly 60% (Libera Brilliance+ SP read-out)



- Aug 25, 10pm: reached first full turn without exciting a single corrector & all magnets at nominal optics for 3.0 GeV
- Without sextupoles & octupoles lost beam in straight 11 (while all correctors set to zero); vertical orbit substantially reduced with focusing from sextupoles & octupoles





- Aug 25, 10pm: reached first full turn without exciting a single corrector & all magnets at nominal optics for 3.0 GeV
- Without sextupoles & octupoles lost beam in straight 11 (while all correctors set to zero); vertical orbit substantially reduced with focusing from sextupoles & octupoles
- After a few minutes of manual corrector adjustments and optics tweaking (mainly in TL and end of linac) recorded 3 passages







- Aug 25, 10pm: reached first full turn without exciting a single corrector & all magnets at nominal optics for 3.0 GeV
- Without sextupoles & octupoles lost beam in straight 11 (while all correctors set to zero); vertical orbit substantially reduced with focusing from sextupoles & octupoles
- After a few minutes of manual corrector adjustments and optics tweaking (mainly in TL and end of linac) recorded 3 passages
- After RF conditioning (3 cavities ready for beam @ 15-20 kW) and various other fixes...





First stored beam on Sep 15 → ≈0.1 mA (≈170 pC from linac)



First stacking observed Oct 8





- First stacking observed Oct 8
- Phasing 2 ring cavities  $\rightarrow$  maximize f<sub>s</sub> and improve inj. rate







Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

87/143

• First linear optics studies & corrections





• First linear optics studies & corrections



Dispersion improved after first LOCO attempts & fixing sextupole misalignment



89/143

Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- First linear optics studies & corrections
- BPM offsets relative to adjacent sextupole/octupole via auxiliary coil powered as upright quad





- First linear optics studies & corrections
- BPM offsets relative to adjacent sextupole/octupole via auxiliary coil powered as upright quad
- Orbit correction to <1 μm rms in H; larger in V (since NBPM > NVCM)
  → apply weighting so orbit always locked down in ID straights





- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
  - after adjusting towards design tunes (0.20/0.28)
  - using only 2 chromatic sextupole families



• First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity

– after adjusting towards design tunes (0.20/0.28)



ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2

IPAC'**16**, WEPOW034







- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2





IPAC'16, WEPOW034

- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2

IPAC'16, WEPOW034





- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2







- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2
- Top-up running since Nov (closed shutters)
  - injector & linac switch between SPF operation and ring injection (involves on-the-fly switching of guns, linac optics, and linac extraction dipoles)





- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2



99/143



Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

100/143

- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2
- Top-up running since Nov (closed shutters)
  - injector & linac switch between SPF operation and ring injection (involves on-the-fly switching of guns, linac optics, and linac extraction dipoles)
  - injector & linac routinely running at 2 Hz since Nov
  - injection efficiency improved (ring phase acceptance!)







Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

102/143

- First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity
- First light seen on diagnostic beamline Nov 2
- Top-up running since Nov (closed shutters)
  - injector & linac switch between SPF operation and ring injection (involves on-the-fly switching of guns, linac optics, and linac extraction dipoles)
  - injector & linac routinely running at 2 Hz since Nov
  - injection efficiency improved (ring phase acceptance!)
  - integrated dose increasing → improving ring vacuum





• First attempts at measuring/adjusting linear chromaticity



RF gun



- First attempts at meas
- First light seen on diag
- Top-up running since
  - injector & linac switch (involves on-the-fly switching
  - injector & linac routin
  - injection efficiency im
  - integrated dose increa



➡ improving beam lifetime (along with effect of bunch lengthening from passive harmonic cavities)





- Orbit drifts observed during top-up operation
  - $-\,70\,\mu m$  / 20  $\mu m$  observed over 8 hours
  - unphysical BPM spikes observed → implications for bad orbit trip (MPS)





- Orbit drifts observed during top-up operation
  - $-\,70\,\mu m$  / 20  $\mu m$  observed over 8 hours
  - unphysical BPM spikes observed → implications for bad orbit trip (MPS)
- SOFB now routinely running at ≈0.5 Hz (target: 10 Hz)
  - sub-micron stability in H, but larger in V (N<sub>BPM</sub> > N<sub>VCM</sub>)
  - weighting → in ID straights still locked down to 200-400 nm








Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

109/143

- Attempted first scraper measurements
  - mean pressure seen by beam: P[10<sup>-9</sup> Torr] = 0.0178 × I[mA] + 0.6088
  - lifetimes



At 50 mA & f <sub>s</sub> = 900 Hz:
• P = 2.1e-9 mbar
• $\delta_{rf} = 4.2\%$
→ τ <sub>el</sub> = 111 h
<b>→</b> τ <sub>bs</sub> = 68 h
→ τ <sub>ts</sub> = 18 h



- Attempted first scraper measurements
  - mean pressure seen by beam: P[10<sup>-9</sup> Torr] = 0.0178 × I[mA] + 0.6088
  - lifetimes & ring acceptance (in conjunction with local beta measurements)



111/143

- Attempted first scraper measurements
  - mean pressure seen by beam: P[10<sup>-9</sup> Torr] = 0.0178 × I[mA] + 0.6088
  - lifetimes & ring acceptance (in conjunction with local beta measurements)



112/143

- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field





- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines







- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines
- ID, FE & BL commissioning started Apr 2016



- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines
- ID, FE & BL commissioning started Apr 2016
- May 11: 10 mm gaps on both BLs (FB loop for ID correctors closed)
- May 11-19: first monochromatic beams (on detector / 11 keV)



- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines
- ID, FE & BL commissioning started Apr 2016
- May 11: 10 mm gaps on bot
- May 11-19: first monochror





**BioMAX** experiment setup

- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines
- ID, FE & BL commissioning started Apr 2016
- May 11: 10 mm gaps on both BLs (FB loop for ID correctors closed)
- May 11-19: first monochromatic beams (on detector / 11 keV)
- June 8/9: First diffraction patterns







118/143



- First two IVUs installed during Feb 2016 shutdown
  - Hitachi, 18 mm period, 4.2 mm magnetic gap, 2 m length, 1.3 T peak field
  - for BioMAX and NanoMAX beamlines
- ID, FE & BL commissioning started Apr 2016
- May 11: 10 mm gaps on both BLs (FB loop for ID correctors closed)
- May 11-19: first monochromatic beams (on detector / 11 keV)
- June 8/9: First diffraction patterns
- June 20: First nano-focus @ NanoMAX





119/143



#### **MAX IV Inauguration**

• Brightest time of the year: June 21, 2016 @ 13:08:55 (local noon)



While the rest of Sweden was celebrating Midsummer like this...



## MAX IV Inauguration (cont.)

• Brightest time of the year: June 21, 2016 @ 13:08:55 (local noon)



A very proud director after having closed the last port with a little help from two "friends"

...we inaugurated our new facility.



#### **MAX IV Inauguration (cont.)**

• Brightest time of the year: June 21, 2016 @ 13:08:55 (local noon)







123/143

ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- Finally, need to also focus on stability & collective effects
  - 3 passive Landau cavities ( $R_s \approx 2.5 \text{ M}\Omega$ ) allow for tuning to flatpotential conditions already @ 150 mA





- Finally, need to also focus on stability & collective effects
  - 3 passive Landau cavities ( $R_s \approx 2.5 \text{ M}\Omega$ ) allow for tuning to flatpotential conditions already @ 150 mA
  - Achieved >2 Ah under stable conditions (top-up running & BbB FB loop closed)



- Finally, need to also focus on stability & collective effects
  - 3 passive Landau cavities ( $R_s \approx 2.5 \text{ M}\Omega$ ) allow for tuning to flatpotential conditions already @ 150 mA
  - Achieved >2 Ah under stable conditions (top-up running & BbB FB loop closed)





- Finally, need to also focus on stability & collective effects
  - 3 passive Landau cavities ( $R_s \approx 2.5 \text{ M}\Omega$ ) allow for tuning to flatpotential conditions already @ 150 mA
  - Achieved >2 Ah under stable conditions (top-up running & BbB FB loop closed)
  - Started commissioning of Dimtel BbB FB system
    - extra ring BPM used as sensor
    - Pair of H & V striplines as transverse actuators
    - Presently also using H striplines in common mode as weak longitudinal actuator (until FB cavity ready)





 Example: observed HOM-driven longitudinal motion at few mA in uniform fill → cavity temp. tuning, LC tuning, BbB FB





- Example: no clear evidence of RW instability
  - could store >120 mA without LCs or feedback
  - predicted RW threshold was only 40 mA





 Example: no clear evidence of RW instability but ion-driven instabilities apparent in both transverse planes

#### **Transverse CBIs**

- No clear evidences of resistive wall instabilities
- However, we detect ion-driven instabilities in both transverse planes, and their effect on the effective emittance can clearly be seen at the diagnostic beam line.
- Typical features of such ion-driven instabilities are
  - · Wide band (they excite several CBI modes)
  - Low amplitude saturation
  - Excitation of high-index modes

Mean Mode Amplitudes

Mode No.

The oscillation amplitudes of the horizontal modes

One cure can be to introduce ion clearing gaps (to be investigated...).

140

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

Ę



Mean Mode Amplitudes

Mode No.

The oscillation amplitudes of the vertical modes

100

120

140

160

Instability observed @ diagnostic BL (σ polarization)





Simon C. Leemann ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

Ę

- Example: single-bunch (SB) collective effects → TMCI & PWD
  - either SB injection or clearing with BbB FB
  - adjusted  $\xi_{x,y}$  towards zero





- Example: single-bunch (SB) collective effects → TMCI & PWD
  - either SB injection or clearing with BbB FB
  - adjusted  $\xi_{x,y}$  towards zero
  - no sign of TMCI up to 8.5 mA SB current (500 mA even fill → 2.85 mA/bunch)

• 
$$\xi_x = 0.4$$
 and  $\xi_y = 0.03$ 

- Synchrotron tune ν<sub>s</sub> = 0.00134
- Vertical tune shift by more than v<sub>s</sub>
- MOSES shows modes 0 and -1 detuning in the same direction



≈1% purity

132/143

- Example: single-bunch (SB) collective effects → TMCI & PWD
  - either SB injection or clearing with BbB FB
  - adjusted  $\xi_{x,y}$  towards zero
  - no sign of TMCI up to 8.5 mA SB current (500 mA even fill → 2.85 mA/bunch)
  - Bunch length measured with sampling oscilloscope @ diagnostic BL



133/143



ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

#### Outlook

- Continue commissioning of 3 GeV storage ring
  - optics & IDs
  - 2nd diagnostic beamline, longitudinal bunch profile
  - RF conditioning main cavities and LCs (high current)
  - collective effects & BbB feedback commissioning
  - integrate fast corrector PSs & LB+ units → commission FOFB
- Just started commissioning of 1.5 GeV storage ring
  - first IDs to be installed in 1.5 GeV SR during early 2017
- "Friendly users" arrive Nov 2016
- First open user call for Mar 2017



#### **Upgrade Ideas**

- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$  PAC'13, МОРНОО5, р.243





- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  PAC'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights)



136/143

ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_v = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$  $au_{ts} \propto \sqrt{arepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$ PAC'**13**, MOPHO05, p.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion PRAB 19, 060701 (2016) bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights)



ALS/LBNL, September 29, 2016

- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  РАС'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights) PRAB 19, 060701 (2016)
- Increase focusing in arc  $\rightarrow \varepsilon_x$  reduced to 269 pm IPAC'14, TUPRI026, p.1615 rad (-18%) while retaining 16 -20% 0.08 14 0.07 satisfactory DA & lifetime 0.06 12 **Beta Functions [m]** Dispersion [m] 0.05 10 y [m] -17%\_ 0.04 ideally:  $\beta_{x,y}^* = L_{ID}/2\pi \approx 0.6 \text{ m}$ 8 0.03 2.0 6 0.02 4 0.01 -48% 2 0 5 10 0 -0.01 10 2 8 6 12 0 x [m s [m]

138/143

- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  PAC'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights) PRAB 19, 060701 (2016)
- Increase focusing in arc  $\rightarrow \varepsilon_x$  reduced to 269 pm IPAC'14, TUPRIO26, p.1615 rad (-18%) while retaining satisfactory DA & lifetime  $\Im_{g}^{300}$   $\Im_{g}^{100}$   $\Im_{g}^{$





- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  PAC'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights) PRAB 19, 060701 (2016)
- Increase focusing in arc  $\rightarrow \varepsilon_x$  reduced to 269 pm IPAC'14, TUPRIO26, p.1615 rad (-18%) while retaining satisfactory DA & lifetime
- First GLASS/MOGA studies assuming
  PSs can be exchanged → 221 pm rad





- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  РАС'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights) PRAB 19, 060701 (2016)
- Increase focusing in arc  $\rightarrow \varepsilon_x$  reduced to 269 pm IPAC'14, TUPRIO26, p.1615 rad (-18%) while retaining satisfactory DA & lifetime  $\frac{16}{14} \int_{14}^{16} \int_{14}^{16} \int_{14}^{27\%} \int_{10}^{0.08} \int_{0.07}^{0.08}$
- First GLASS/MOGA studies assuming
  PSs can be exchanged → 221 pm rad





- Improved matching to IDs (coupling, optics in straights)
  - Transverse coherence and brightness at 1 Å almost doubled by setting  $\varepsilon_y = 8 \rightarrow 2 \text{ pm rad}$   $\tau_{ts} \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_y} \propto \sqrt{\kappa}$  РАС'13, МОРНОО5, р.243
  - Good Touschek lifetime maintained by exciting vertical dispersion bumps in all arcs (transparent in ID straights) PRAB 19, 060701 (2016)
- Increase focusing in arc  $\rightarrow \varepsilon_x$  reduced to 269 pm IPAC'14, TUPRIO26, p.1615 rad (-18%) while retaining satisfactory DA & lifetime
- First GLASS/MOGA studies assuming
  PSs can be exchanged → 221 pm rad
- Assuming on-axis inj. → ≈170 pm rad or ≈150 pm rad (w/ IDs and IBS @ 500 mA)





#### Thanks for your attention!

Photo courtesy L. Jansson, August 24, 2015

