How do you accurately measure the storage ring energy?



Per's presentation raised an interesting question: how can you accurately determine the storage ring energy?

- "accurate", i.e. better than dipole field measurement data (~ 10^{-3})



 Per's presentation raised an interesting question: how can you accurately determine the storage ring energy?

- "accurate", i.e. better than dipole field measurement data (~ 10^{-3})

Resonant spin depolarization delivers such a calibration

- expect accuracy on a ~ $10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ level



 Per's presentation raised an interesting question: how can you accurately determine the storage ring energy?

- "accurate", i.e. better than dipole field measurement data (~ 10^{-3})

Resonant spin depolarization delivers such a calibration

- expect accuracy on a ~ $10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ level

•Why would we need that kind of energy resolution?

- Frequency feedbacks usually part of (or interleaved with) OFB



 Per's presentation raised an interesting question: how can you accurately determine the storage ring energy?

- "accurate", i.e. better than dipole field measurement data (~ 10^{-3})

Resonant spin depolarization delivers such a calibration

- expect accuracy on a ~ $10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ level

•Why would we need that kind of energy resolution?

- Frequency feedbacks usually part of (or interleaved with) OFB
- Undulator spectra can be fitted to reveal energy







Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

 Per's presentation raised an interesting question: how can you accurately determine the storage ring energy?

- "accurate", i.e. better than dipole field measurement data (~ 10^{-3})

Resonant spin depolarization delivers such a calibration

- expect accuracy on a ~ $10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ level

•Why would we need that kind of energy resolution?

- Frequency feedbacks usually part of (or interleaved with) OFB
- Undulator spectra can be fitted to reveal energy
- So in the end... who cares at all?



• Calibrated machine model → "l'art pour l'art"?



• Calibrated machine model → "l'art pour l'art"?

•OK, but what about momentum compaction?

 Control of momentum compaction, particularly nonlinear momentum compaction, is crucial to reach very short bunches (THz, ...)

$$\sigma_s = \frac{\alpha_c \, c}{\Omega_s} \sigma_\delta \propto \sqrt{\alpha_c}$$



• Calibrated machine model → "l'art pour l'art"?

•OK, but what about momentum compaction?

 Control of momentum compaction, particularly nonlinear momentum compaction, is crucial to reach very short bunches (THz, ...)

$$\sigma_s = \frac{\alpha_c \, c}{\Omega_s} \sigma_\delta \propto \sqrt{\alpha_c}$$

- In order to control it, we need to measure it first



• Calibrated machine model → "l'art pour l'art"?

- •OK, but what about momentum compaction?
 - Control of momentum compaction, particularly nonlinear momentum compaction, is crucial to reach very short bunches (THz, ...)

$$\sigma_s = \frac{\alpha_c \, c}{\Omega_s} \sigma_\delta \propto \sqrt{\alpha_c}$$

- In order to control it, we need to measure it first
- Determining momentum compaction requires measuring an energy shift as a function of RF detuning

$$-\frac{\Delta f_{\rm rf}}{f_{\rm rf}} = \alpha_c \left(\frac{\Delta E}{E}\right) + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{\Delta E}{E}\right)^2 + \dots$$



•At MAX-lab we usually don't care too much for short bunches in storage rings, but...



•At MAX-lab we usually don't care too much for short bunches in storage rings, but...

•When do you ever get a chance to see the quantum nature of anything?







RSD in a Nutshell

• Electrons in a storage ring gradually polarize along the guiding dipole field, i.e. their spins tend to align antiparallel to the bending magnet field



RSD in a Nutshell

• Electrons in a storage ring gradually polarize along the guiding dipole field, i.e. their spins tend to align antiparallel to the bending magnet field

 In general, spin vectors precess around this axis with a frequency directly proportional to the electron energy





Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

RSD in a Nutshell

• Electrons in a storage ring gradually polarize along the guiding dipole field, i.e. their spins tend to align antiparallel to the bending magnet field

- In general, spin vectors precess around this axis with a frequency directly proportional to the electron energy
- If we kick the spins into the machine plane in resonance with this precession, the beam will depolarize





• Electrons in a storage ring gradually polarize along the guiding dipole field, i.e. their spins tend to align antiparallel to the bending magnet field

- In general, spin vectors precess around this axis with a frequency directly proportional to the electron energy
- If we kick the spins into the machine plane in resonance with this precession, the beam will depolarize

Detect depolarizing frequency → beam energy



В

ÅΩ

e-

Ternov, Loskutov and Korovina, 1961: spin-flip radiation

- While being radially accelerated electrons emit photons which carry a spin



Ternov, Loskutov and Korovina, 1961: spin-flip radiation

- While being radially accelerated electrons emit photons which carry a spin
- After emitting a photon, electron's spin can flip (only 10⁻¹¹ of emitted power!)



Ternov, Loskutov and Korovina, 1961: spin-flip radiation

- While being radially accelerated electrons emit photons which carry a spin
- After emitting a photon, electron's spin can flip (only 10⁻¹¹ of emitted power!)
- Nevertheless, there are two unequal spin flip rates

$$W_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 \hbar}{m_e^2 c^2 \rho^3} \left(1 + \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\right)$$
$$W_{\downarrow\uparrow} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 \hbar}{m_e^2 c^2 \rho^3} \left(1 - \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

This inequality breaks the symmetry leading to a net build-up of polarization



Ternov, Loskutov and Korovina, 1961: spin-flip radiation

- While being radially accelerated electrons emit photons which carry a spin
- After emitting a photon, electron's spin can flip (only 10⁻¹¹ of emitted power!)
- Nevertheless, there are two unequal spin flip rates

$$W_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 \hbar}{m_e^2 c^2 \rho^3} \left(1 + \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\right)$$
$$W_{\downarrow\uparrow} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 \hbar}{m_e^2 c^2 \rho^3} \left(1 - \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

This inequality breaks the symmetry leading to a net build-up of polarization

Sokolov-Ternov polarization level

$$P_{\rm ST} = \frac{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} - W_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}} = 92.38\%$$



Sokolov-Ternov polarization level

$$P_{\rm ST} = \frac{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} - W_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}} = 92.38\%$$

With a characteristic build-up time

$$\tau_p = (W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow})^{-1} = \left(\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{e^2\hbar}{m_e^2 c^2}\right)^{-1} \frac{\rho^3}{\gamma^5}$$



Sokolov-Ternov polarization level

$$P_{\rm ST} = \frac{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} - W_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}} = 92.38\%$$

With a characteristic build-up time

$$\tau_p = (W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow})^{-1} = \left(\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{e^2\hbar}{m_e^2 c^2}\right)^{-1} \frac{\rho^3}{\gamma^5}$$

So the polarization level in the ring can be expressed as

$$P(t) = P_{\rm ST} \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau_p} \right)$$



Sokolov-Ternov polarization level

$$P_{\rm ST} = \frac{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} - W_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}} = 92.38\%$$

With a characteristic build-up time

$$\tau_p = (W_{\uparrow\downarrow} + W_{\downarrow\uparrow})^{-1} = \left(\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{e^2\hbar}{m_e^2 c^2}\right)^{-1} \frac{\rho^3}{\gamma^5}$$

So the polarization level in the ring can be expressed as

$$P(t) = P_{\rm ST} \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau_p} \right)$$

•But in a real storage ring things are a bit more complicated... (as always)



•Electron's spin interacts with magnetic fields via the magnetic moment associated with the spin, i.e.

$$\vec{\mu} = -\frac{ge}{2m_ec}\hbar\vec{S}$$

- where g is the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron

$$a = \frac{g-2}{2} = 0.00115965218073(28)$$



• Electron's spin interacts with magnetic fields via the magnetic moment associated with the spin, i.e.

$$\vec{\mu} = -\frac{ge}{2m_ec}\hbar\vec{S}$$

- where g is the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron

$$a = \frac{g-2}{2} = 0.00115965218073(28)$$

 In a storage ring the electron spins precess around the guiding dipole field

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{S}$$

with the angular velocity (Thomas precession)

$$\vec{\Omega} = -\frac{ge}{2m_ec}\vec{B}$$

В

ÅΩ



Relativistic electrons in lab frame

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\text{lab}} = -\frac{e}{m_e c} \left[\left(a + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \vec{B} - \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \vec{\beta} (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B}) - \left(a + \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} \right]$$

Bargman, Michel, Telegdy, 1959: Thomas-BMT equation

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{ds} = -\frac{e}{m_e c \gamma} \left[(1+a)\vec{B}_{\parallel} + (1+a\gamma)\vec{B}_{\perp} \right] \times \vec{S}$$

•So in reality, need to perform spin tracking along closed orbit





Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

Relativistic electrons in lab frame

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\text{lab}} = -\frac{e}{m_e c} \left[\left(a + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \vec{B} - \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma + 1} \vec{\beta} (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B}) - \left(a + \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \right) \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} \right]$$

Bargman, Michel, Telegdy, 1959: Thomas-BMT equation

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{ds} = -\frac{e}{m_e c \gamma} \left[(1+a)\vec{B}_{\parallel} + (1+a\gamma)\vec{B}_{\perp} \right] \times \vec{S}$$

 So in reality, need to perform spin tracking along closed orbit

• But ideal ring has only B_{\perp}

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\rm sp} = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c \gamma} \, a \gamma$$





 In the experiment: excellent machine alignment & accurate (vertical) BPM calibration → minimize horizontal field components → high degree of polarization



 In the experiment: excellent machine alignment & accurate (vertical) BPM calibration → minimize horizontal field components → high degree of polarization

Spin precession is proportional to revolution frequency

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\rm sp} = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c \gamma} a \gamma \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c \gamma}$$



 In the experiment: excellent machine alignment & accurate (vertical) BPM calibration → minimize horizontal field components → high degree of polarization

Spin precession is proportional to revolution frequency

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\rm sp} = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c\gamma} a\gamma \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c\gamma}$$

So we can define a spin tune

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$



 In the experiment: excellent machine alignment & accurate (vertical) BPM calibration → minimize horizontal field components → high degree of polarization

Spin precession is proportional to revolution frequency

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\rm sp} = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c\gamma} \, a\gamma \qquad \omega_0 = \frac{e\vec{B}_{\perp}}{m_e c\gamma}$$

•So we can define a spin tune

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$

If we measure this spin tune, we know the energy



•But how do we measure the spin precession frequency?

- kick spins into machine plane
- if this is done in resonance with precession, polarization will collapse





•But how do we measure the spin precession frequency?

- kick spins into machine plane
- if this is done in resonance with precession, polarization will collapse
- polarization collapse measured via Touschek scattering



•But how do we measure the spin precession frequency?

- kick spins into machine plane
- if this is done in resonance with precession, polarization will collapse
- polarization collapse measured via Touschek scattering

Touschek scattering → Möller scattering cross-section

Möller:
$$\frac{d\sigma_{ts}}{d\Omega} = \frac{4r_e^2}{\beta^4} \left[\frac{4}{\sin^4 \theta} - \frac{3+P^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\tau_{ts}} = \langle aC(\xi) \rangle + P^2 \langle aF(\xi) \rangle$$

- if polarization collapses, Touschek scattering cross-section increases

- \rightarrow Touschek lifetime drops
- \rightarrow Touschek losses increase (Touschek losses come in pairs!)



Campaign carried out at SLS, 2001-2002

•Goals:

- calibrate energy with better accuracy than previous Hall probe measurements of dipoles
- verify if SLS energy was actually 1% too high (as indicated by beam-based quadrupole and sextupole adjustments during commissioning)
- measure nonlinear momentum compaction and compare to model values



Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam



Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam

Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization



Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam

Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization

Theory for (perfect) SLS: $\hat{\tau}_p = 1873 \mathrm{s}$

From fit to measured /r data: $au_p = (1837 \pm 1) ~{
m s}$

Actual polarization level:

$$P_0 = P_{\rm ST} \frac{\tau_p}{\hat{\tau_p}} = 91\%$$





- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance
 - Feed sinusoidal excitation to vertical kicker magnet
 - Sweep excitation frequency over interval around expected depolarizing resonance
 - Record $I \times \tau$ and loss monitor coincidence signal





- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance
 - Feed sinusoidal excitation to vertical kicker magnet
 - Sweep excitation frequency over interval around expected depolarizing resonance
 - Record $I \times \tau$ and loss monitor coincidence signal

• Step #4: Calibrate storage ring energy

- Map excitation frequency to storage ring energy
- − Froisart-Stora fit for resonance crossing \rightarrow E, Δ E

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$





Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

 $\overline{}$

MAX-lab

- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance
 - Feed sinusoidal excitation to vertical kicker magnet
 - Sweep excitation frequency over interval around expected depolarizing resonance
 - Record $I \times \tau$ and loss monitor coincidence signal

• Step #4: Calibrate storage ring energy

- Map excitation frequency to storage ring energy
- Froisart-Stora fit for resonance crossing \rightarrow E, Δ E

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$

Step #5: Worry about subtleties



- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance
 - Feed sinusoidal excitation to vertical kicker magnet
 - Sweep excitation frequency over interval around expected depolarizing resonance
 - Record $I \times \tau$ and loss monitor coincidence signal

Step #4: Calibrate storage ring energy

- Map excitation frequency to storage ring energy
- Froisart-Stora fit for resonance crossing \rightarrow E, Δ E

Step #5: Worry about subtleties

synchrotron sidebands

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$







Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

~

MAX-lab

- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance
 - Feed sinusoidal excitation to vertical kicker magnet
 - Sweep excitation frequency over interval around expected depolarizing resonance
 - Record $I \times \tau$ and loss monitor coincidence signal

Step #4: Calibrate storage ring energy

- Map excitation frequency to storage ring energy
- Froisart-Stora fit for resonance crossing \rightarrow E, Δ E

Step #5: Worry about subtleties

- synchrotron sidebands, mirror resonances (Nyquist!), ...

$$\frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\omega_0} = \nu_s = a\gamma$$



- Step #1: Verify Touschek-dominated beam
- Step #2: Verify high degree of stored beam polarization
- Step #3: Find the depolarizing resonance



Step #5: Worry about subtleties

- synchrotron sidebands, mirror resonances (Nyquist!), ...



• Finally: 10⁻⁵ accuracy of energy calibration allows investigation of nonlinearity of momentum compaction







Simon C. Leemann Accelerator Physics Meeting, September 21, 2012

• Finally: 10⁻⁵ accuracy of energy calibration allows investigation of nonlinearity of momentum compaction

- This method (originally used in high-energy lepton rings) has by now been successfully applied at several light sources
 - BESSY II
 - ALS
 - SLS
 - ANKA
 - DIAMOND
 - Australian Synchrotron
 - ...and most recently at SOLEIL

